

What You Make Possible





















Enterprise-Class Availability

Resilient Campus Communication Fabric

- Network-level redundancy
- System-level resiliency
- Operational resiliency
- Human ear notices the difference in voice within 150– 200 msec
- Video loss is even more noticeable
- 200-msec end-to-end campus convergence



NETWORKING



Agenda

- Multilayer Campus **Design Principles**
- Foundation Services
- Campus Design **Best Practices**
- Virtualisation techniques
- Security considerations
- What's next....
- Summary



Distribution Blocks



High-Availability Campus Design

Structure, Modularity, and Hierarchy



BRKCRS-2663



Hierarchical Network Design

Without a Rock Solid Foundation the Rest Doesn't Matter



- Offers hierarchy each layer has specific role
- Modular topology building blocks
- Easy to grow, understand, and troubleshoot
- **Creates small fault domains clear** demarcations and isolation
- **Promotes load balancing and redundancy**
- **Promotes deterministic traffic patterns**
- **Incorporates balance of both Layer 2 and** Layer 3 technology, leveraging the strength of both
- Utilises Layer 3 routing for load balancing, fast convergence, scalability, and control

Building Block



Hierarchical Campus Network

Structure, modularity and hierarchy





Access Layer

Feature rich environment

- It's not just about connectivity
- Layer 2/Layer 3 feature rich environment: convergence, HA, security, multicast
- Intelligent network services: QoS, trust boundary, broadcast suppression, IGMP snooping
- Intelligent network services: PVST+, Rapid PVST+, EIGRP, OSPF, DTP, PAgP/LACP, UDLD, FlexLink, etc.
- Cisco Catalyst[®] integrated security features IBNS (802.1x), (CISF): port security, DHCP snooping, DAÍ, IPSG, etc.
- Automatic phone discovery, conditional trust boundary, PoE, auxiliary VLAN, etc.
- Spanning tree toolkit: PortFast, UplinkFast, BackboneFast, LoopGuard, BPDU Guard, BPDU Filter, RootGuard, etc.



Distribution



Distribution Layer

Policy, convergence, QoS and high availability

- Availability, load balancing, QoS and provisioning are the important considerations at this layer
- Aggregates wiring closets (access layer) and uplinks to core
- Protects core from high density peering and problems in access layer
- Route summarisation, fast convergence, redundant path load sharing
- HSRP or GLBP to provide first hop redundancy



Core

Distribution



Core Layer

Scalability, high availability and fast convergence

- Backbone for the network—connects network building blocks
- Performance and stability vs. complexity—less is more in the core
- Aggregation point for distribution layer
- Separate core layer helps in scalability during future growth
- Keep the design technology-independent



Core

Distribution



Do I Need a Core Layer?

It's really a question of scale, complexity and convergence

No Core

- Fully-meshed distribution layers
- Physical cabling requirement







3rd Building Block 8 New Links **12 Links Total**

5 IGP Neighbours



Do I Need a Core Layer?

It's really a question of scale, complexity and convergence

Dedicated Core Switches

- Easier to add a module
- Fewer links in the core
- Easier bandwidth upgrade
- Routing protocol peering reduced
- Equal cost Layer 3 links for best convergence

8 New Links

2nd Building Block

4th Building Block **4** New Links **16** Links Total

3 IGP Neighbours 🧷





3rd Building Block 4 New Links **12** Links Total

3 IGP Neighbours



Design Alternatives Within a Building Block



BRKCRS-2663

Layer 3 Distribution Interconnection Layer 2 Access – No VLANs span access layer

- Tune CEF load balancing
- Summarise routes towards core
- Limit redundant IGP peering
- STP Root and HSRP primary tuning or GLBP to load balance on uplinks
- Set trunk mode on/no-negotiate
- Disable EtherChannel unless needed
- Set port host on access layer ports:
 - Disable trunking
 - Disable EtherChannel
 - Enable PortFast
- RootGuard or BPDU-Guard
- Use security features





Layer 2 Distribution Interconnection Layer 2 Access – Some VLANs span access layer

- Tune CEF load balancing
- Summarise routes towards core
- Limit redundant IGP peering
- STP Root and HSRP primary or GLBP and STP port cost tuning to load balance on uplinks
- Set trunk mode on/no-negotiate
- Disable EtherChannel unless needed
- RootGuard on downlinks
- LoopGuard on uplinks
- Set port host on access layer ports:
 - Disable trunking **Disable EtherChannel** Enable PortFast
- RootGuard or BPDU-Guard
- Use security features



Routed Access and VSS

Evolutions and improvements to existing designs





Agenda

- Multilayer Campus **Design Principles**
- Foundation Services
- Campus Design **Best Practices**
- Virtualisation techniques
- Security considerations
- What's next....
- Summary



Distribution Blocks



Foundation Services

- Layer 1 physical things
- Layer 2 redundancy spanning tree
- Layer 3 routing protocols
- Trunking protocols—(ISL/.1q)
- Unidirectional link detection
- Load balancing
 - EtherChannel link aggregation
 - CEF equal cost load balancing
- First hop redundancy protocols

© 2013 Cisco and/or its affiliates. All rights reserved.

– VRRP, HSRP, and GLBP



Cisco Public

Best Practices

Layer 1 Physical Things

- Use point-to-point interconnections—no L2 aggregation points between nodes
- Use fibre for best convergence
- Tune carrier delay timer
- Use configuration on the physical interface not VLAN/SVI when possible



Redundancy and Protocol Interaction

Link Neighbour Failure Detection

- Indirect link failures are harder to detect
- With no direct HW notification of link loss or topology change convergence times are dependent on SW notification
- Indirect failure events in a bridged environment are detected by spanning tree hellos
- You should not be using hubs in a highavailability design





Redundancy and Protocol Interaction

Link redundancy and failure detection

- Direct point-to-point fibre provides for fast failure detection
- Do not disable auto-negotiation on GigE and 10GigE interfaces
- The default debounce timer on GigE and 10GigE fibre linecards is 10 msec
- The minimum debounce for copper is 300 msec





Redundancy and Protocol Interaction

Layer 2 and 3 – Why use routed interfaces over SVI's?

Configuring L3 routed interfaces provides for faster convergence than an L2 switch port with an associated L3 SVI



1. Link Down

2. Interface Down

3. Routing Update



~ 150–200 msec loss

~ 8 msec loss

21:38:37.042 UTC: %LINEPROTO-5-UPDOWN: Line protocol on Interface GigabitEthernet3/1, changed state to down 21:38:37.050 UTC: %LINK-3-UPDOWN: Interface GigabitEthernet3/1, changed state to down 21:38:37.050 UTC: IP-EIGRP(Default-IP-Routing-Table:100): Callback: route_adjust GigabitEthernet3/1

21:32:47.813 UTC: %LINEPROTO-5-UPDOWN: Line protocol on Interface GigabitEthernet2/1, changed state to down 21:32:47.821 UTC: %LINK-3-UPDOWN: Interface GigabitEthernet2/1, changed state to down 21:32:48.069 UTC: %LINK-3-UPDOWN: Interface Vlan301, changed state to down 21:32:48.069 UTC: IP-EIGRP(Default-IP-Routing-Table:100): Callback: route, adjust Vlan301

BRKCRS-2663



1. Link Down 2. Interface Down 3. Autostate 4. SVI Down

5. Routing Update

Best Practices

Spanning Tree Configuration

- Only span VLAN across multiple access layer switches when you have to!
- Use Rapid PVST+
- Required to protect against operational accidents (misconfiguration or hardware failure)
- Take advantage of the spanning tree toolkit



Multilayer Network Design

L2 access with L3 distribution



- Each access switch has unique VLANs
- No Layer 2 loops
- Layer 3 link between distribution
- No blocked links



- switches
- Layer 2 loops, blocked links
- distribution

At least some VLANs span multiple access

Layer 2 and 3 running over link between



Optimising L2 Convergence PVST+, Rapid PVST+ or MST

- Rapid-PVST+ greatly improves the restoration times for any VLAN that requires a topology convergence due to link UP
- Rapid-PVST+ also greatly improves convergence time over backbone fast for any indirect link failures
- PVST+ (802.1d)

-Traditional spanning tree implementation

Rapid PVST+ (802.1w)

-Scales to large size (~10,000 logical ports)

-Easy to implement, proven, scales

MST (802.1s)

–Permits very large scale STP implementations (~30,000 logical ports)

-Not as flexible as rapid PVST+



Layer 2 Hardening

Spanning Tree should behave the way you expect

- Place the root where you want It
- The root bridge should stay where you put it
 - RootGuard
 - LoopGuard
 - UplinkFast
 - UDLD
- Only end-station traffic should be seen on an edge port
 - BPDU Guard
 - RootGuard
 - PortFast, PortSecurity



Best Practices

Layer 3 Routing Protocols

- Typically deployed in distribution to core, and core-to-core interconnections
- Used to quickly reroute around failed node/links while providing load balancing over redundant paths
- Build triangles not squares for deterministic convergence
- Summarise distribution to core to limit EIGRP query diameter or OSPF LSA propagation
- Tune CEF L3/L4 load balancing hash to achieve maximum utilisation of equal cost paths (CEF polarisation)





Best Practice Build Triangles not Squares

Deterministic vs. Non-Deterministic

Triangles: Link/Box failure does **not** require routing protocol convergence

protocol convergence



- Layer 3 redundant equal cost links support fast convergence
- Hardware based—fast recovery to remaining path
- Convergence is extremely fast (dual equal-cost paths: no need for OSPF or EIGRP to recalculate a new path)

Squares: Link/Box failure requires routing



Summarise at the Distribution

Limit EIGRP queries and OSPF LSA propagation

- It is important to force summarisation at the distribution towards the core
- For return path traffic an OSPF or EIGRP re-route is required
- By limiting the number of peers an EIGRP router must query or the number of LSAs an OSPF peer must process we can optimise this reroute

EIGRP example:

```
interface Port-channel1
description to Core#1
ip address 10.122.0.34 255.255.255.252
ip hello-interval eigrp 100 1
ip hold-time eigrp 100 3
ip summary-address eigrp 100 10.1.0.0
 255.255.0.0 5
```







Distribution



Summarise at the Distribution

Reduce the complexity of IGP convergence

- It is important to force summarisation at the distribution towards the core
- For return path traffic an OSPF or EIGRP re-route is required
- By limiting the number of peers an EIGRP router must query or the number of LSAs an OSPF peer must process we can optimise his reroute
- For EIGRP if we summarise at the distribution we stop queries at the core boxes for an access layer flap
- For OSPF when we summarise at the distribution (area border or L1/L2 border) the flooding of LSAs is limited to the distribution switches; SPF now deals with one LSA not three



10.1.1.0/24

10.1.2.0/24





Distribution



Summarise at the Distribution

Gotcha – Distribution to distribution link required

- Best practice summarise at the distribution layer to limit EIGRP queries or OSPF LSA propagation
- Gotcha:
 - Upstream: HSRP on left distribution takes over when link fails
 - Return path: old router still advertises summary to core
 - Return traffic is dropped on right distribution switch
- Summarising requires a link between the distribution switches



Core

Distribution



Equal-Cost Multipath

Optimising CEF load sharing

- Depending on the traffic flow patterns and IP addressing in use, one algorithm may provide better load-sharing results than another
- Be careful not to introduce polarisation in a multi-tier design by changing the default to the same thing in all tiers/layers of the network

Catalyst 4500 Load-Sharing Options		
Original	Src IP + Dst IP	
Universal*	Src IP + Dst IP + Unique ID	
Include Port	Src IP + Dst IP + (Src or Dst Port) + Unique ID	

Catalyst 6500 Load-Sharing Options		
Default*	Src IP + Dst IP + Unique ID	
Full	Src IP + Dst IP + Src Port + Dst Port	
Full Exclude Port	Src IP + Dst IP + (Src or Dst Port)	
Simple	Src IP + Dst IP	
Full Simple	Src IP + Dst IP + Src Port + Dst Port	



Load-Sharing

Load-Sharing **Full Simple**

Load-Sharing

* = Default Load-Sharing Mode

BRKCRS-2663







CEF Load Balancing

Avoid underutilising redundant L3 paths

Redundant Paths Ignored

Distribution Default L3 Hash

Core **Default L3 Hash**

Distribution **Default L3 Hash**



- right/right
- Imbalance/overload could occur
- Redundant paths are ignored/underutilised
- The default CEF hash input is L3
- We can change the default to use L3 + L4 information as input to the hash derivation

CEF polarisation: without some tuning CEF will select the same path left/left or



CEF Load Balancing Avoid underutilising redundant L3 paths

All Paths Used **Distribution** L3/L4 Hash Core **Default L3 Hash Distribution** L3/L4 Hash

- Depending on IP addressing and flows, imbalance could occur
- Alternating L3/L4 hash and L3 hash will give us the best load balancing results
- Use simple in the core and full **simple** in the distribution to add L4 information to the algorithm at the distribution and maintain differentiation tier-to-tier



Best Practices

Trunk configuration

- Typically deployed on interconnection between access and distribution layers
- Use VTP transparent mode to decrease potential for operational error
- Hard set trunk mode to on and encapsulation negotiate off for optimal convergence
- Change the native VLAN to something unused to avoid VLAN hopping
- Manually prune all VLANS except those needed





VTP Virtual Trunk Protocol

- Centralised VLAN management
- VTP server switch propagates VLAN database to VTP client switches
- Runs only on trunks
- Four modes:
 - **Server:** updates clients and servers
 - Client: receive updates cannot make changes
 - **Transparent:** let updates pass through
 - Off: ignores VTP updates




DTP Dynamic Trunk Protocol

- Automatic formation of trunked switch-toswitch interconnection
 - On: always be a trunk
 - Desirable: ask if the other side can/will
 - Auto: if the other sides asks I will
 - Off: don't become a trunk
- Negotiation of 802.1Q or ISL encapsulation
 - ISL: try to use ISL trunk encapsulation
 - 802.1q: try to use 802.1q encapsulation
 - Negotiate: negotiate ISL or 802.1q encapsulation with peer
 - Non-negotiate: always use encapsulation that is hard set









Optimising Convergence: Trunk Tuning

Trunk Auto/Desirable Takes Some Time

DTP negotiation tuning improves link up convergence time

IOS(config-if)# switchport mode trunk

IOS(config-if)# switchport nonegotiate





3550 (Cisco IOS)

4006 (CatOS)

4507 (Cisco IOS)

6500 (CatOS)



Best Practices

UDLD Configuration

- Typically deployed on any fibre optic interconnection
- Use UDLD aggressive mode for most aggressive protection
- Turn on in global configuration to avoid operational error/misses
- Config example

IOS (config)# udld aggressive





Unidirectional Link Detection

Protecting against one-way communication

- Protects against one-way communication or partially failed links and their effects on protocols like STP and RSTP
- Primarily used on fibre optic links where patch panel errors could cause link up/up with mismatched transmit/receive pairs
- Each switch port configured for UDLD will send UDLD protocol packets (at L2) containing the port's own device/port ID, and the neighbour's device/port IDs seen by UDLD on that port
- Neighbouring ports should see their own device/port ID (echo) in the packets received from the other side
- If the port does not see its own device/port ID in the incoming UDLD packets for a specific duration of time, the link is considered unidirectional and is shutdown





Are You 'Echoing' My **Hellos?**



UDLD Modes: Aggressive and Normal



- Timers are the same 15-second hellos by default
- UDLD Normal Mode only err-disable the end where UDLD detected. The other end just sees the link go down
- UDLD Aggressive Mode err-disable both ends of the connection.
 Could lead to complete loss of connectivity to remote site



It here UDLD wn of the connection. note site



Best Practices

EtherChannel Configuration

- Typically deployed in distribution to core, and core to core interconnections
- Used to provide link redundancy, while reducing peering complexity
- Tune L3/L4 load balancing hash to achieve maximum utilisation of channel members
- Deploy in powers of two (two, four, or eight)
- Match CatOS and Cisco IOS PAgP settings



Understanding EtherChannel Link Negotiation Options—PAgP and LACP

Port Aggregation Protocol ST. On/On **Channel** On/Off **No Channel** Auto/Desirable **Channel Off/On, Auto, Desirable No Channel**

On: always be a channel/bundle member **Desirable:** ask if the other side can/will Auto: if the other side asks I will **Off:** don't become a member of a channel/bundle



On: always be a channel/bundle member Active: ask if the other side can/will Passive: if the other side asks I will **Off:** don't become a member of a channel/bundle



Link Aggregation Control Protocol

Cisc



Matching EtherChannel configuration on both sides improves link restoration convergence times

CatOS-switch# set port channel <mod/port> off



6500 (CatOS) 4506 (CatOS)



EtherChannel link load sharing





- Default L3 (src/dst IP) hash etherchannel
 - Can lead to unbalanced utilisation
- Change default to include L4 information
 - Configured globally or on individual etherchannels.

Switch(config)# port-channel load-balance src-dst-port



determines which link to use in





Best Practices

First Hop Redundancy

- Used to provide a resilient default gateway to end-stations
- HSRP, VRRP, and GLBP alternatives
- VRRP, HSRP, and GLBP provide millisecond timers and excellent convergence performance
- VRRP if you need multivendor interoperability
- GLBP facilitates uplink load balancing
- Preempt timers need to be tuned to avoid black-holed traffic





First Hop Redundancy with VRRP

- A group of routers function as one virtual router by sharing one virtual IP and MAC
- One (master) router performs packet forwarding for local hosts
- The rest of the routers act as back up in case the master router fails
- Backup routers stay idle as far as packet forwarding from the client side is concerned

VRRP ACTIVE IP: 10.0.0.254 MAC: 0000.0c12.3456 vIP: 10.0.0.10 vMAC: 0000.5e00.0101 **R1 Distribution-A VRRP** Active IP: 10.0.0.1 IP: 10.0.0.2 MAC: aaaa.aaaa.aa01 MAC: GW: 10.0.0.10 GW: 10.0.0.10 **ARP**: 0000.5e00.0101 ARP:



R1—Master, Forwarding Traffic, R2—Backup **VRRP BACKUP** 10.0.253 IP: MAC: 0000.0C78.9abc

vIP: vMAC:



Distribution-B VRRP Backup

R2

aaaa.aaaa.aa02 0000.5e00.0101 IP: 10.0.0.3 MAC: aaaa.aaaa.aa03 GW: 10.0.0.10 ARP: 0000.5e00.010[°]

First Hop Redundancy with HSRP

- A group of routers function as one virtual router by sharing one virtual IP and MAC
- One (active) router performs packet forwarding for local hosts
- The rest of the routers provide hot standby in case the active router fails
- Standby routers stay idle as far as packet forwarding from the client side is concerned

HSRP ACTIVE





Why You Want HSRP Preemption

- Spanning tree root and HSRP primary aligned
- When spanning tree root is re-introduced, traffic will take a twohop path to HSRP active
- HSRP preemption will allow HSRP to follow spanning tree topology



Without preempt delay HSRP can go active before box completely ready to forward traffic due to L1 (Boards), L2 (STP), L3 (IGP Convergence) IOS (config-if)# standby 1 preempt delay minimum 30





Spanning Tree Root **HSRP** Active

Distribution

Access



First Hop Redundancy with GLBP

Cisco Proprietary, load sharing

- All the benefits of HSRP plus load balancing of default gateway, utilises all available bandwidth
- A group of routers function as one virtual router by sharing one virtual IP address but using multiple virtual MAC addresses for traffic forwarding
- Allows traffic from a single common subnet to go through multiple redundant gateways using a single virtual IP address





R1, R2 Both Forward Traffic

If You Span VLANS, Tuning Required

By Default, Half the Traffic Will Take a Two-Hop L2 Path

- Both distribution switches act as default gateway
- Blocked uplink caused traffic to take less than optimal path



BRKCRS-2663

© 2013 Cisco and/or its affiliates. All rights reserved.



Distribution-B GLBP Virtual MAC 2 Access-b **VLAN 2**

Optimising Convergence: VRRP, HSRP, GLBP

- VRRP flows go through a common VRRP peer; mean, max, and min are equal
- HSRP has sub-second timers; however all flows go through same HSRP peer so there is no difference between mean, max, and min
- GLBP has sub-second timers and distributes the load amongst the GLBP peers; so 50% of the clients are not affected by an uplink failure



Distribution to access link failure, access to server farm

BRKCRS-2663

© 2013 Cisco and/or its affiliates. All rights reserved.



Agenda

- Multilayer Campus **Design Principles**
- Foundation Services
- Campus Design **Best Practices**
- Virtualisation techniques
- Security considerations
- What's next....
- Summary



Distribution Blocks



Daisy Chaining Access Layer Switches Avoid potential black holes



Return Path Traffic Has a 50/50 Chance of Being 'Black Holed'

BRKCRS-2663

© 2013 Cisco and/or its affiliates. All rights reserved.



Stackwise/Stackwise-Plus **HSRP** Active **HSRP Standby** technology eliminates the

concern

- Loopback links not required
- No longer forced to have L2 link in distribution

New technology addresses old problems

If you use modular (chassisbased) switches, these problems are not a concern



Daisy Chaining Access Layer Switches

Forwarding

Distribution Layer 2/3

Access Layer 2



What if you don't link the distributions?

Black holes and multiple transitions



- Blocking link on access-b will take 50 seconds to move to forwarding \rightarrow traffic black hole until HSRP goes active on standby **HSRP** peer
- After MaxAge expires (or backbone fast or Rapid PVST+) converges HSRP preempt causes another transition
- Access-b used as transit for Access-a's traffic



What if you don't link the distributions?

Return path traffic black-holed



• Blocking link on access-b will take 50 seconds to move to forwarding \rightarrow return traffic black hole until then

BRKCRS-2663

© 2013 Cisco and/or its affiliates. All rights reserved.

- 802.1d: up to

Asymmetric Routing

- Affects redundant topologies with shared L2 access
- One path upstream and two paths downstream
- CAM table entry ages out on standby HSRP
- Without a CAM entry packet is flooded to all ports in the VLAN



Asymmetric Routing

Best practice to prevent excessive flooding

- Assign one unique data and voice VLAN to each access switch
- Traffic is now only flooded down one trunk
- Access switch unicasts correctly; no flooding to all ports
- If you have to:
 - Tune ARP and CAM aging timers; CAM timer exceeds ARP timer
 - Bias routing metrics to remove equal cost routes



Agenda

- Multilayer Campus
 Design Principles
- Foundation Services
- Campus Design Best Practices
- Virtualisation techniques
- Security considerations
- What's next....
- Summary



Distribution Blocks



Why Virtualise? **Creates Logical Partitions**

- Allows the use of unique security policies per logical domain
- Provides traffic isolation per application, group, service etc...
- The logical separation of traffic using one physical infrastructure



Network Virtualisation

Components



VRF-Lite and GRE Tunnels



- Requires GRE tunnel, loopback and client side interface per VRF
- Easy configuration, but limited scale





GRE encapsulation represent 24 extra bytes or 28 if a key is present

BRKCRS-2663

© 2013 Cisco and/or its affiliates. All rights reserved.





VRF-Lite End-to-End

- Packets processed per VRF
- Unique control plane and data plane
- Requires sub-interfaces on L3 trunks (not supported on 4500)







EVN End-to-End

- Packets processed per VRF
- Unique control plane and data plane
- Automatic configuration of trunks
- Cat6500 (on Sup2T), ASR and Cat4500 support







Trunk configuration comparison

vrf definition **RED** vrf definition **RED VRF-lite** address-family ipv4 vnet tag 100 vrf definition GREEN address-family ipv4 end-to-end address-family ipv4 vrf definition GREEN example Vrf definition **BLUE** vnet tag 101 address-family ipv4 interface GigabitEthernet0/0 vnet tag 102 description Trunk interface interface GigabitEthernet0/0.100 vrf forwarding RED encapsulation dot1Q 100 vnet trunk ip address 10.100.1.1 255.255.255.0 interface GigabitEthernet0/0.101 vrf forwarding GREEN encapsulation dot1Q 101 ip address 10.101.1.1 255.255.255.0 interface GigabitEthernet0/0.102 vrf forwarding **BLUE** encapsulation dot1Q 102 ip address 10.102.1.1 255.255.255.0

address-family ipv4 vrf definition **BLUE** interface GigabitEthernet0/0 description Trunk interface ip address 10.1.1.1 255.255.255.0

Automatically creates subinterfaces for each VRF. "show derived-config gig0/0.100" will show sub-interface config.



EVN example

New command



Virtualised network



BRKCRS-2663

© 2013 Cisco and/or its affiliates. All rights reserved.

Cisco Public



Agenda

- Multilayer Campus **Design Principles**
- Foundation Services
- Campus Design **Best Practices**
- Virtualisation techniques
- Security considerations
- What's next....
- Summary



Distribution Blocks



Best Practices—Campus Security

- Things you already know...
- Use SSH to access devices instead of Telnet
- Enable AAA and roles-based access control (RADIUS/TACACS+) for the CLI on all devices
- Enable SYSLOG to a server. Collect and archive logs
- When using SNMP use SNMPv3
- Disable unused services:

No service tcp-small-servers No service udp-small-servers

- Use FTP or SFTP (SSH FTP) to move images and configurations around—avoid TFTP when possible
- Install VTY access-lists to limit which addresses can access management and CLI services
- Enable control plane protocol authentication where it is available (EIGRP, OSPF, BGP, HSRP, VTP, etc.)



For More Details, See BRKSEC-2202 Session, Understanding and Preventing Layer 2 Attacks Circ

BPDU Guard

Problem:

- Users can plug a switch in at their desk that tries to become **BPDU Guard** root **Disables Port**
- -Multiple Windows XP machines can create a loop in the wired VLAN via the WLAN

Solution:

– BPDU Guard configured on all end-station switch ports will prevent loop from forming

Win XP **Bridging Enabled**

STP Loop

Formed



Securing Layer 2 from Surveillance Attacks Cutting Off MAC-Based Attacks



Problem:

Script Kiddie hacking tools enable attackers to flood switch CAM tables with bogus MACs; turning the VLAN into a hub and eliminating privacy

Switch CAM table limit is finite number of MAC addresses

Only three MAC addresses allowed on the port: Shutdown



Solution:

switchport port-security switchport port-security maximum 3 switchport port-security violation restrict switchport port-security aging time 2 switchport port-security aging type inactivity



Port Security limits MAC flooding attack by locking down port and sends an SNMP trap

LISCOU

DHCP Snooping

Protection Against Rogue/Malicious DHCP Server



- DHCP requests (discover) and responses (offer) tracked
- Rate-limit requests on trusted interfaces; limits DoS attacks on DHCP server
- Deny responses (offers) on non trusted interfaces; stop malicious or errant DHCP server

DHCP

Server




Dynamic ARP Inspection

Protection Against ARP Poisoning

- Dynamic ARP inspection protects against ARP poisoning (ettercap, dsnif, arpspoof)
- Uses the DHCP snooping binding table
- Tracks MAC to IP from DHCP transactions
- Rate-limits ARP requests from client ports; stop port scanning
- Drop bogus gratuitous ARPs; stop ARP poisoning/MIM attacks

Gateway = 10.1.1.1 MAC=A

Gratuitous ARP

MAC=B



IP Source Guard

Protection Against Spoofed IP Addresses

- IP source guard protects against spoofed IP addresses
- Uses the DHCP snooping binding table
- Tracks IP address to port associations
- Dynamically programs port ACL to drop traffic not originating from IP address assigned via DHCP





Catalyst Integrated Security Features



- Port security prevents MAC flooding attacks
- DHCP snooping prevents client attack on the switch and server
- Dynamic ARP Inspection addssecurity to ARP using DHCP snooping table
- IP source guard adds security to IP source address using DHCP snooping table

ip dhcp snooping ip dhcp snooping vlan 2-10 ip arp inspection vlan 2-10

interface FastEthernet3/1 switchport port-security switchport port-security max 3 switchport port-security violation restrict switchport port-security aging time 2 switchport port-security aging type inactivity ip arp inspection limit rate 100 ip dhcp snooping limit rate 100 ip verify source vlan dhcp-snooping

interface GigabitEthernet1/1 ip dhcp snooping trust ip arp inspection trust





Agenda

- Multilayer Campus **Design Principles**
- Foundation Services
- Campus Design **Best Practices**
- Virtualisation techniques
- Security considerations
- What's next....
- Summary





Distribution Blocks



Reduction in Control Plane

Less management points

VSS

- Available now on 6500-E, 4500-X and 4500-E
- Useful in distribution layer





Reduction in Control Plane

Less management points

VSS

- Available now on 6500-E, 4500-X and 4500-E
- Useful in distribution layer

Smart Install

- Zero-touch install of new devices
- Automatic SW updates
- Utilises DHCP to find new switches





SmartPorts - Predefined Configurations

Access-Switch# show parser macro brief default global : cisco-global default interface: cisco-desktop default interface: cisco-phone default interface: cisco-switch default interface: cisco-router default interface: cisco-wireless

Access-Switch(config-if)#\$ macro apply cisco-phone \$access_vlan 20 \$voice_vlan 10

Access-Switch# show run int fa1/0/19

interface FastEthernet1/0/19 switchport access vlan 20 switchport mode access switchport voice vlan 10 switchport port-security maximum 2 switchport port-security switchport port-security aging time 2 switchport port-security violation restrict switchport port-security aging type inactivity srr-queue bandwidth share 10 10 60 20 srr-queue bandwidth shape 10 0 0 0 mls gos trust device cisco-phone mls qos trust cos macro description cisco-phone auto qosvoipcisco-phone spanning-tree portfast spanning-tree bpduguard enable end



Unified Access

Localised wired and wireless connectivity

Centralised wireless controller

All traffic trunked up to core





Unified Access

Localised wired and wireless connectivity

Centralised wireless controller

All traffic trunked up to core

Local wireless termination

Reduce spanning of VLANs across access layer







Unified Access

Localised wired and wireless connectivity

Centralised wireless controller

All traffic trunked up to core

Local wireless termination

Reduce spanning of VLANs across access layer





Agenda

- Multilayer Campus
 Design Principles
- Foundation Services
- Campus Design
 Best Practices
- Virtualisation techniques
- Security considerations
- What's next....
- Summary



Distribution Blocks



Summary

- Offers hierarchy—each layer has specific role
- Modular topology—building blocks
- Easy to grow, understand, and troubleshoot
- **Creates small fault domains** clear demarcations and isolation
- **Promotes load balancing and** redundancy
- **Promotes deterministic traffic** patterns
- **Incorporates balance of both** Layer 2 and Layer 3 technology
- **Utilises Layer 3 routing for load** balancing, fast convergence, scalability, and control







BRKCRS-2663

© 2013 Cisco and/or its affiliates. All rights reserved.





Q & A









Complete Your Online Session Evaluation

Give us your feedback and receive a Cisco Live 2013 Polo Shirt!

Complete your Overall Event Survey and 5 Session Evaluations.

- Directly from your mobile device on the Cisco Live Mobile App
- By visiting the Cisco Live Mobile Site <u>www.ciscoliveaustralia.com/mobile</u>
- Visit any Cisco Live Internet Station located throughout the venue

Polo Shirts can be collected in the World of Solutions on Friday 8 March 12:00pm-2:00pm





communities, and on-demand and live activities throughout the year. Log into your Cisco Live portal and click the "Enter Cisco Live 365" button. www.ciscoliveaustralia.com/portal/login.ww

Don't forget to activate your Cisco Live 365 account for access to all session material,



CISCO

